

**JUDGE SWAIN**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

GARDEN CITY BOXING CLUB, INC.

Civil Action No. \_\_\_\_\_

Plaintiff,

v.

**07 CIV 8753**  
SUMMONS

TENTACIONES POBLANAS RESTAURANT, INC.  
d/b/a TENTACIONES MEXICANAS RESTAURANT  
and UBALDO GUERRERO

Defendants,

You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon Plaintiff's attorneys whose address is: Paul J. Hooten & Associates, 5505 Nesconset Hwy., Suite 203, Mt. Sinai, New York 11766 an Answer to the Complaint which is herewith served upon you, within twenty (20) days after service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

**J. MICHAEL McMAHON**

Clerk of Court

*[Signature]*  
Deputy Clerk

DATE: OCT 10 2007

NOTE: THIS SUMMONS IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO RULE 4 OF THE FEDERAL  
RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

Claim # 125911



**Parties**

4. At all times hereinafter mentioned, plaintiff, Garden City Boxing Club, Inc., was and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, with its principal office and place of business located in San Jose, California.

5. Upon information and belief the defendant, Tentaciones Poblanas Restaurant, Inc., is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of New York, and authorized to transacting business as "Tentaciones Mexicanas Restaurant" from its principal place of business located at 989 Westchester Avenue, Bronx, New York.

6. Upon information and belief, at all times hereinafter mentioned, defendant Ubaldo Guerrero is the owners of Tentaciones Poblanas Restaurant, Inc. d/b/a Tentaciones Mexicanas Restaurant.

7. Defendants Tentaciones Poblanas Restaurant, Inc. d/b/a Tentaciones Mexicanas Restaurant and Ubaldo Guerrero are hereinafter collectively referred to as "Defendants."

**Preliminary Background**

8. Plaintiff entered into a closed-circuit television license agreement to exhibit the closed-circuit telecast of the November 27, 2004 boxing match between Erik Morales and Marco Antonio Barrera III including undercard or preliminary bouts (the boxing match and all related bouts are collectively referred to as the "Event"), at closed-circuit locations such as theaters, arenas, bars, clubs, lounges, restaurants and the like throughout New York and other geographic locales (the "License Agreement"). Plaintiff paid substantial fees for its exclusive rights to exhibit the Event under the License Agreement.

9. Plaintiff entered into the License Agreement for the purpose of distributing for a commercial gain the closed-circuit broadcast of the Event to various business establishments

throughout the New York area.

10. The closed-circuit broadcast of the Event was not intended for the use of the general public. In New York, the closed-circuit broadcast of the Event could only be exhibited in a commercial establishment if said establishment was contractually authorized to do so by Garden City Boxing Club, Inc.

11. Pursuant to the License Agreement, Garden City Boxing Club, Inc. marketed and distributed the closed-circuit rights granted to it. Garden City Boxing Club, Inc. contracted with various establishments throughout New York and granted to such establishments the right to broadcast the Event in exchange for a fee.

12. The transmission of the Event was electronically coded or "scrambled". In order for the signal to be received and telecast clearly, it had to be decoded with electronic decoding equipment.

13. The transmission of the Event was available to the defendants to purchase for broadcast in Tentaciones Poblanas Restaurant, Inc. d/b/a Tentaciones Mexicanas Restaurant. Had they done so, they would have been authorized to receive, transmit and publish the Event in Tentaciones Poblanas Restaurant, Inc. d/b/a Tentaciones Mexicanas Restaurant. Defendants did not, however, contract with Garden City Boxing Club, Inc. to obtain the rights to broadcast the Event.

14. The establishments which contracted with Garden City Boxing Club, Inc. to broadcast the Event were provided with the electronic decoding equipment and satellite coordinates necessary to receive the signal of the Event.

15. On November 27, 2004, in violation of Garden City Boxing Club, Inc. rights and federal and state law, the Defendants willfully intercepted and/or received the interstate communication of the Event. In the alternative, the Defendants assisted in the receipt of the



interstate communication of the Event. The Defendants then transmitted, divulged and published said communication, or assisted in transmitting, divulging and publishing said communication, to patrons within Tentaciones Poblanas Restaurant, Inc. d/b/a Tentaciones Mexicanas Restaurant.

16. The Defendants misappropriated Garden City Boxing Club, Inc. licensed exhibition of the Event and infringed upon Garden City Boxing Club, Inc. exclusive rights while avoiding proper payment to Garden City Boxing Club, Inc. Defendants' purpose and express intent in committing their unlawful actions was to secure a financial gain and commercial advantage.

17. The Defendants enabled the patrons within Tentaciones Poblanas Restaurant, Inc. d/b/a Tentaciones Mexicanas Restaurant to view the Event to which neither the Defendants nor the patrons were entitled.

18. The persons whom Defendants permitted to view the Event would otherwise have been able to view it at a commercial establishment only if said commercial establishment was properly licensed and authorized by Garden City Boxing Club, Inc..

19. The Defendants were not authorized to intercept, receive or transmit the communication of the Event or to assist in such actions in any form or at any time.

20. Defendants' unauthorized exhibition of the telecast of the event caused substantial damage to Garden City Boxing Club, Inc..

**COUNT I**  
**VIOLATION OF 47 U.S.C. §605**

21. The Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 19 of the Complaint.

22. The Federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §605 (the "Statute"), provides in part:

No person not being authorized by the sender shall intercept any radio